



CEHIPAR CENTRO DE EXPERIENCIAS HIDRODINÁMICAS DE EL PARDO

> Jorge Izquierdo Yerón Luis Garcia Rueda Bart Schuiling Marteen Kerkvlit Andrea Mikelic



PROJECT K2+ SCALING THE PEAKS OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS MODELLING

INTA



INTRODUCTION

Who we are

The Cooperative Research Ships (CRS) is an organization that started in 1969 with the goal of obtaining general data about the hydrodynamics and related problems of large and high-powered ships.

24 member organizations and companies carrying out a joint work program, sponsored equally by all members.

What we do



OBJECTIVE

Roughness (+fouling) on hulls and propellers has a *significant* impact on a ship performance over her lifetime.

- Reduce ship speed and increase fuel consumption and emissions.
- Influence on propeller inflow.
- Transom wet / dry.
- Local flow behavior / Boundary layer separation.
- Efficiency of appendages and control devices.

Accurately predicting these phenomena is crucial for shipping companies.









INTA

Instituto Nacional



State of the Art

Roughness

Hagen, Darcy, Coolebrok, Moody, Nikuradse....

Boundary Layer

Schlichting.

Naval Industry (s.XX)

McEntee, U.S Navy, West, Granville.

Naval Industry (s.XIX)

Song, Dai, Demirel, Atlar, Ravenna. Schultz et al.

Mikkelsen.



Source: Mikkelsen, H., & Walther, J. H. (2020). Effect of roughness in full-scale validation of a CFD model of self-propelled ships





Roughness Characterization



*R*_{*a*}: Arithmetic average of the absolute height.

$$R_a = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L |z(x)| dx$$

 R_z : Distance between the height of the maximum peak and the depth of the deepest valley.

 $R_z = \max\{p_i\} + \max\{v_i\}$

 R_{RMS} of height, sometimes named in the literature as R_q which is calculated as:

$$R_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L z(x)^2 dx}$$



Schematic representation of roughness parameters (Kadivar el al, 2021)

Roughness values Ra micrometers (µm)	Roughness N ISO Grade Numbers	RMS	CLA (µin.) Center Line Avg	Surface Finish
0.025	N1	1.1	1	Superfinish
0.05	N2	2.2	2	-
0.1	N3	4.4	4	-
0.2	N4	8.8	8	Polish
0.4	N5	17.6	16	Ground
0.8	N6	32.5	32	Smooth
1.6	N7	64.3	63	Fine
3.2	N8	137.5	125	Semifine
6.3	N9	275.0	250	Medium
12.5	N10	550.0	500	Semirough
25.0	N11	1100.0	1000	Rough
50.0	N12	2200.0	2000	Cleanup

ISO 1302:1992 Roughness grade numbers and levels of surface preparation





Manufacturing and Roughnness Measurement



- Aluminium discs.
- Diameter = f(Rn) = 400mm.
- Thickness = 8mm.
- Artificial Fouling.





(b) Sand P320



(a) Smooth disc with black rim

(c) Sand P180







(e) Sand P80









Manufacturing and Roughnness Measurement



Profilometer





Digital microscope











Manufacturing and Roughnness Measurement



Hull Roughness Gauge



source https://industrialphysics.com/

- ITTC Initial recommended value:
 120 150 microns
- Roughness after ten years....
 - 300 450 microns

• Mean Hull Roughness which is the average of Rt50.

$$MHR = \frac{\sum Rt50}{n}$$

• Average Hull Roughness.

$$AHR = \frac{\sum MHR}{m}$$







Instituto

ROUGHNESS ESTIMATION



One approach is to estimate the roughness resistance using empirical formulas and apply it as a point force in the center of gravity. The first widely used correlation formula was proposed by Bowden and Davidson (1974).

$$\Delta C_{F,Bowden-Dav} = \left[105 \left(\frac{AHR}{LWL}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 0.64\right] 10^{-3}$$

ITTC recommends using AHR = $150\mu m$.

$$\Delta C_{F,Townsin} = 0.044 \left[\left(\frac{AHR}{LWL} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 10 \ Re^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right] + 0.000125$$

In the CFD simulations where the roughness resistance is empirically estimated, previous equations will be used with the recommended roughness height of 150µm.





Roughness function experimental procedures



Before....







Now!!









EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OBTAINED











CFD simulations underpredict the power in general.

- Roughness of the hull and propeller.
- Wind loads on the superstructure.
- Reynolds number.



Comparison of CFD results from workshop participants and speed trial measurements for the LR workshop vessel REGAL. (Ponkratov 2016)





nstituto

NUMERICAL TESTS

The end goal of the working group is to improve the prediction of the impact of roughness in ship performance by means of Full Scale simulations.





This will mean performing also Full Scale CFD predictions for a ship, based on the lessons learnt in previous correlation studies (disc).

However.....

- Is there some Full Scale Boundary Layer Measurement in different roughness conditions that could be used as full-scale verification?
- Not all CFD codes model roughness in the same way.
- Necessary knowledge so that users can use their codes in the most correct way possible.



Instituto Nacional de Técnica

Aeroespacial

ROUGHNESS BY CFD



An alternative method is to model the effects of roughness in the CFD calculation by applying a roughness function and modify the wall functions as proposed by Cebeci and Bradshaw.

 $U^+ = \frac{1}{k} \ln y^+ + B$ 35 $\Delta U^+ = f(k^+)$ 30 $U^+ = \frac{1}{k} \ln y^+ + B - \Delta U^+$ 25smooth wall ± 20 ∆U⁺ 15 Source В ĸ Schlichting (1979) Pipe Flow 5.50 0.4000 10 rough wal 5.56 Schlichting (1979) Flat Plate 0.3900 5.23 CD Adapco (2016) 0.4200 ANSYS Fluent (2016) 0.4187 5.42 100 1000 10 10000 0.1y+ k von Karman constant



ROUGHNESS FUNCTION



The general form of Nikuradse-type roughness function can be written as:

$$\Delta U^{+} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k_{s}^{+} < k_{Smooth}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{k} \ln(C_{s} k_{s}^{+}) \sin\left(\frac{\pi \ln(k_{s}^{+}) - \ln(k_{Smooth}^{+})}{2 \ln(k_{Rough}^{+}) - \ln(k_{Smooth}^{+})}\right) & \text{if } k_{s}^{+} < k_{s}^{+} < k_{Rough}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{k} \ln(C_{s} k_{s}^{+}) & \text{if } k_{s}^{+} > k_{Rough}^{+} \end{cases}$$

White suggested a Colebrook-type roughness function,

$$\Delta U^{+} = \frac{1}{k} \ln(1 + 0.3 \, k_s^{+})$$

Grigson proposed

$$\Delta U^{+} = \frac{1}{k} ln \left(1 + \frac{k_s^+}{exp[k(8,5-C)]} \right) \quad \text{Where}$$

Where k=0.41 and C =5.25







Instituto Nacional de Técnica

Aeroespacial

NUMERICAL TESTS



How to manage the information.....





 $2D = k_s = 11.03 R_a$ $3D = k_s = 5.863 R_a$

Adams, T., Grant, C., & Watson, H. (2012). "A simple algorithm to relate measured surface roughness to equivalent sand-grain roughness." International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Mechatronics, 1(2), 66-71.

Cs is the roughness constant and depends on the type of roughness

The default roughness constant (C_s = 0.5) was determined so that, when used with turbulence models, it reproduces Nikuradse's resistance data for pipes roughened with tightly-packed, uniform sand-grain roughness.



CONCLUSIONS



- The k2+ project is an on-going research that has significantly advanced our understanding of the effects of surface roughness on ship performance.
- Scientifically validated correlation between hull surface roughness and its equivalent sand-grain roughness value for Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations will be established.
- Tests conducted at high Reynolds number, which are the interesting ones for full-scale models.
- The experiments conducted with rotating discs have provided a comprehensive and high-quality dataset.





FUTURE WORKS

- Numerical investigations to validate our roughness models.
- Twelve CRS participants will participate in this numerical phase, what will enrich the value of the research bringing different codes and experiences.
- DAMEN shipyard will perform full-scale roughness measurements on ships.
- Partnership with the University of Melbourne, we will carry out long-term boundary layer measurements on an operational cargo ship.













THANK FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!

QUESTIONS??



CEHIPAR CENTRO DE EXPERIENCIAS HIDRODINÁMICAS DE EL PARDO

