



# **NEW DRIVE SOLUTIONS FOR ENERGY VESSELS**

SCHOTTEL.con

J. MÜLLER | GIJON | MARCH 25

# Agenda



- 01 SOV operation criteria
- 02 SRP-D next level of DP performance
- 03 DNV L3-simulation
- 04 NAVIS worst case failure simulation
- 05 MARIN comparable model test 4-corner design
- 06 SRP-D Retractable
- 07 Conclusion

# 01 SOV operation criteria

Operation:

6,000h/a with 80% DP

Gangway: telescopic operational limit +/- 4.0m

within **+/- 1.5m** 

DP accuracy:

Environment:

wave height wind speed up to

Hs > 3.0m 21m/s





## Highest DP accuracy requires more dynamic propulsion





# 02 SRP-D next level DP performance

**Dynamic** fast response azimuth thruster





#### **Dynamic fast response L-Drive**

- Fast propeller acceleration
- Ramp up time of 4-5 sec (0-100%)



#### High-speed azimuth steering

- Steering speed 5 rpm (180°)
- Ramp up time abt. 0,6 1,2 sec



#### 98° Gearbox

- Minimizing interaction effects
- Reduction / elimination of forbidden zones



## 02 SRP-D next level DP performance Investigation Case





Wind of Change, Wind of Hope Louis Dreyfus Armateurs SALT ship design Loa: 84 m Bm: 19,4 m Draught: 5,02 m

Main Azimuth Thruster: Retractable Thruster: Tunnel Thruster: 2x SRP430 1660 kW 1x SRP260R 880 kW 2x STT6 1400 kW





1x SRP260R 880 kW 2x STT6 1400 kW

# 02 SRP-D next level DP performance

**Dynamic** fast response azimuth thruster



#### First round of investigations:



#### **Dynamic fast response L-Drive**

- Fast propeller acceleration
- Ramp up time of 4 sec (0-100%)



#### **High-speed azimuth steering**

- Steering speed 5 rpm (180°)
- Ramp up time abt. 0,6 1,2 sec

## Significantly improved DP footprint ~25% overall



DNV: accumulated result of 180 wave seeds



DNV

SRP-D next level DP performance



# 98°

#### Second round of investigations:

#### **Dynamic fast response L-Drive**

- Fast propeller acceleration
- Ramp up time of 4 sec (0-100%)

#### **High-speed azimuth steering**

- Steering speed 5 rpm (180°)
- Ramp up time abt. 0,6 1,2 sec

#### 98° Gearbox

- Minimizing interaction effects
- Reduction / elimination of forbidden zones



#### SCHOTTEL CFD Thruster - Hull Interaction

Bollard pull ahead, thruster angle 0°





#### Bollard pull astern, thruster angle 180°







> 90° gear box:

Beam interaction with surface not considered.

→ may result in increase thrust losses

Thrust deduction = 
$$1 - \frac{T_{Total}}{T_{Unit}}$$
 [-]

 > 98° Gear box: Beam moves "parallel" to hull and hardly interacts
 → reduced thrust losses

#### SCHOTTEL CFD Thruster - Hull Interaction

Bollard pull, thruster angle 135°



Strong hull interactions (COANDA)







SCHOTTEL | March 2025

UWG 98° : td = 8%





#### SCHOTTEL CFD Thruster - Hull Interaction

Using a 98° gear box, combined with an appropriate installation reduces the thruster-hull interaction significantly.



- Increased system efficiency
- Increased maneuverability
- Reduction of Forbidden Zones during DP
- Reduced noise and vibration caused by reduced thruster-hull-interaction

11



#### SCHOTTEL CFD Thruster - Hull Interaction

- 98° gear box performs significantly better
- Up to 45% increase in thrust for  $D_0$
- Reduction of forbidden zone possible











#### DP footprint calculation



#### Standard calculation method

#### 90° gearbox



#### Minimizing of forbidden zones

#### 98° gearbox







#### L3-site simulations with 98° thruster considering thruster-hull and thruster-thruster interaction

- Position deviation improves at 120° and 240° weather impact
- Positioning performance benefit from:
  - less thruster-thruster interaction
  - narrower forbidden zone



Propulsion power demand during DP operation





- Standard SRP with position loss
- SRP-D remains stable ases frequency but
- Up to 30% less power consumption during DP



# 04 NAVIS worst-case-failure simulation

Time-domain simulations by NAVIS Engineering Oy



156 kW 233 kW 96 kW 144 kW G3 G2 G4 WCF considered as loss of Bus1 Bus1 Bus<sub>2</sub> petra fable Loss of Port Z-Drive and Retractable 141 kW 199 kW 247 kW Stbd Z-Bow#F Bow#A THR DRV THR Wave height chosen within the vessel's Consumption MAX CONS DP use AVAIL static capability with the WCF 2043 388 388 2043 MBus MB 8:2 2043 241 241 2043 er plant Figure 1: Prototype vessel layout and



**Azimuth drive** 

# 04 NAVIS worst-case-failure simulation



Heading deviation results



SRP-D significantly improves vessel stability and safety



# 05 MARIN comparable model test 4-corner design DAMEN 9022 CSOV

• 10 % reduced energy consumption over the entire operation profile

100

• 18% Power savings during high DP



Power savings [%] Setup 2 + 2 units





# 06 SRP-D Retractable

#### SRP-R – 98° GEAR BOX

CFD Investigation of thrust deduction and hull-propeller wash interaction





20

# 06 SRP-D Retractable

SRP-R under U-frame: thruster angle 0°

- 90° gear box: Thrust deduction = **6.48%**
- 98° gear box: Thrust deduction = **0.82%**

- > 90° gear box: Beam sticks to hull
- 98° gear box: No interaction of beam with hull Reduced pressure pulses







# 06 SRP-D Retractable

#### Comparing 90° gearbox, 5° tilted nozzle and 98° gear box

- Comparable open water performance
- 5° tilted nozzle shows hull interaction comparable to 90° gear box
- 5° tilted nozzle shows a significant high cavitation volume
  → High cavitation dynamics (hence noise / vibration)
- 98° gear box shows stable separation between propeller wash and hull.
  → min. thrust losses due to thruster-hull-interaction.
  → minor losses due to downward orientation of propeller wash.



#### Cavitation dynamics







# 07 Conclusion

• Significantly reduced power consumption during DP (18-30%)

• Improved position keeping during DP (25%)

• Extended uptime and weather window

- Improved vessel stability and safety for WCF scenario
- Minimised thuster hull interaction





Standard SRP

[kW]





# ANY QUESTIONS?

# I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.